116 Orthodontics July 2017

Tricks of the Trade: Modified Transpalatal Arch for Space Maintenance in Post Distraction Cases

Proper maintenance and utilization of space is an important criterion in orthodontics, especially in cases where space has been created by atypical methods. Here an innovative transpalatal arch has been suggested to maintain space created by anterio-posterior distraction. This method serves as an aesthetic pontic and, during subsequent space closure, the acrylic pontic attached to the transpalatal arch can be sequentially trimmed.

A space maintainer is an intraoral appliance used to maintain arch length
subsequent to premature loss or extraction
of primary or permanent tooth/teeth
until the space is utilized for the desired
purpose. In the mixed dentition, the space
created by loss of deciduous teeth needs
to be maintained till the eruption of their
successors. In the permanent dentition,
the space may be utilized for desired tooth
movement. Achieving the desired post
orthodontic tooth positions is difficult if
such space is lost.

Various space maintainers have been used for orthodontic purposes. 1,2 The most commonly used ones include, the transpalatal arch (TPA), the nance palatal arch and the lingual arch. The transpalatal arch and nance palatal arch can be used in both mixed and permanent dentitions, but they do not control the vertical movement of the opposing dentition in asymmetrical extraction cases. In addition to this, the acrylic button of the nance palatal arch may cause tissue irritation. Both appliances do not control the distal movement of the anteriors in any extraction space.3

Most orthodontic patients are worried about the visibility of extraction spaces during the course of the treatment.

Many alternative solutions have been suggested to the use of temporary aesthetic composite pontics and riding acrylic pontics.⁴ Both these have limited application in the prevention of the eruption of an opposing tooth into the extraction space. The chances of repeated breakage of aesthetic composite pontics and the questionable stability of riding pontics is a cause for concern.

Space maintainers can be removable or fixed, unilateral or bilateral and can be specific to a particular arch.³ Unilateral fixed appliance space maintainers are used in the mixed dentition. They are simple to fabricate and can be used bilaterally, but they do not control the supra-eruption of opposing teeth. Their placement does not permit simultaneous space closure. The removable appliance depends on the patient's compliance.

The present design modification of the transpalatal arch is proposed in order to:

- Reinforce anchorage;
- Aid in mastication;
- Permit simultaneous space closure;
- Prevent supra-eruption of the antagonist tooth;
- Improve aesthetics in anterior extraction spaces;
- Lessen soft-tissue irritation;
- Make it easy to fabricate.

In the present case (Figures 1–5), anterior maxillary distraction was performed and the appliance was removed after a consolidation period of 3 months. The maintenance of the space gained for its appropriate utilization for orthodontic alignment and prosthetic replacement is important in such cases. ^{5,6} The gained space





Figure 1. Intra-oral views showing pre-distraction stage

after the distraction was maintained with the help of the modified transpalatal arch.

The design of the transpalatal arch was modified to work as a space maintainer as follows:

- TPA was fabricated from 0.036" stainless steel wire (LeoWire, Leone SpA, Firenze, Italy; www.leone.it (Figure 6).
- The free ends, after making the double-back, were adapted on to the edentulous space and an acrylic molar was attached with cold cure clear acrylic (Figures 7, 8). This served as a space maintainer as well

Tanuja Ajit Deshpande, MDS, **Roopak D Naik,** BDS, MDS, Associate Professor and Postgraduate Instructor, **Harshavardhan Kidiyoor,** BDS, MDS, Associate Professor, **Anand K Patil,** MDS, Head and Professor, Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, SDM College of Dental Sciences and Hospital, Sattur, Dharwad 580 009, Karnataka, India.

July 2017 Orthodontics 117







Figure 2. Intra-oral views showing post-distraction stage.



Figure 3. Intra-oral occlusal view showing predistraction stage.



Figure 4. Intra-oral occlusal view showing post-distraction stage.

as improving the masticatory ability of the patient. The mesial surfaces of the prosthetic molars can be trimmed and the anteriors can be retracted in the available space.

The proposed design of the TPA therefore serves as a prosthetic replacement for the patient and as a space maintainer for the orthodontist. This design can also be applied in atypical molar extraction cases in order to preserve anchorage and maintain



Figure 5. Intra-oral occlusal view showing placement of modified TPA in post-distraction space.



Figure 6. Study model with modified TPA framework.



Figure 7. Study model with placement of acrylic teeth on to the TPA wire framework.

space for retraction, can be modified to act as a bite block, and can also serve as an aesthetic pontic to mask the premolar extraction space in orthodontic patients.

References

1. McNamara JA, Brudon WL. Transpalatal arch. In: *Orthodontic and Orthopedic Treatment in the Mixed Dentition*.



Figure 8. Lateral view of the study model with placement of arcylic teeth on to the TPA wire framework.

- Ann Arbor, Needham Press Inc, 1995: pp179–192.
- Cetlin NM, Ten Hoeve A. Nonextraction treatment. J Clin Orthod 1983; 17: 396–413.
- Laing E, Ashley P, Naini FB, Gill DS.
 Space maintenance. Int J Ped Dent 2009; 19: 155–162.
- Martins RP, Magno AF, Martins IP, Martins LP, White LW. Composite pontics for orthodontic patients with extraction spaces. World J Orthod 2010; 11: 180–184.
- Cope JB, Samchukov ML. Craniofacial distraction osteogenesis: basic principles and clinical applications. In: Orthodontics: Current Principles and Techniques 4th edn. Graber TM, Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, eds. St Louis: Mosby, 2009: pp1053–1093.
- Block MS, Cervini D, Chang A, Gottsegen GB. Anterior maxillary advancement using tooth–supported distraction osteogenesis. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1995; 53: 561–565.

CPD Answers for April 2017

- **1.** A
- **2.** C
- **3.** C
- **4.** B
- **5.** D
- **6.** B
- **7.** D